Emergent quantum mechanics without wave functions

Johannes Mesa Pascasio[1,2],* Siegfried Fussy[1],* Herbert Schwabl[1],* and Gerhard Grössing[1]*

[1]*Austrian Institute for Nonlinear Studies, Akademiehof Friedrichstr. 10, 1010 Vienna, Austria*

[2]*Atominstitut, TU Wien, Operng. 9, 1040 Vienna, Austria and*

arXiv:1602.04932v1 [quant-ph] 16 Feb 2016

(Dated: February 17, 2016)

Abstract

We present our model of an Emergent Quantum Mechanics which can be characterized by "realism without pre-determination". This is illustrated by our analytic description and corresponding computer simulations of Bohmian-like "surreal" trajectories, which are obtained classically, i.e. without the use of any quantum mechanical tool such as wave functions. However, these trajectories do not necessarily represent ontological paths of particles but rather mappings of the probability density flux in a hydrodynamical sense. Modelling emergent quantum mechanics in a high-low intesity double slit scenario gives rise to the "quantum sweeper effect" with a characteristic intensity pattern. This phenomenon should be experimentally testable via weak measurement techniques.

Keywords: neutron interferometry, double slit

* E-mail: ains@chello.at; Visit: http://www.nonlinearstudies.at/

1. BEAM ATTENUATION

We have studied beam attenuation experiments in neutron interferometry as introduced by [1] for extreme attenuation values. We use the *transmission factor* a as the beam's transmission probability [2], in the cases of a (deterministic) chopper wheel with $a = {}^{t_{\text{open}}}/_{t_{\text{open}}+t_{\text{closed}}}$ given by the temporal open-to-closed ratio, and a (stochastic) semitransparent material with $a = {}^{I}/_{I_0}$ being the relation of the intensity I with absorption compared to the intensity I_0 without. Thus the beam modulation behind the interferometer is obtained in two ways. With φ denoting the phase difference, the intensities are given for respective cases by

$$I_{\text{det}} \propto 1 + a + 2a\cos\varphi \tag{1.1}$$

$$I_{\text{stoch}} \propto 1 + a + 2\sqrt{a}\cos\varphi \tag{1.2}$$

Although the same number of particles is observed in both cases, in Eq. (1.1) the contrast of the interference pattern is proportional to a, whereas in Eq. (1.2) it is proportional to $\sqrt{a}$. In our calculations we assume stochastic type attenuation.

2. THE SWEEPER EFFECT

The probability density distribution for the right slit exhibits marked signs of interference effects due to the compressed wave superpositions within the bunching area caused by the sweeper effect. For transmission factors below $a \lesssim 10^{-4}$, new effects appear which are not taken into account in linear extrapolation of expectations based on higher-valued transmission factors.

With ever lower values of a, one can see a steadily growing tendency for the attenuated beam to become swept aside. In our model, this phenomenology is explained by processes of diffusion, due to the presence of accumulated vacuum heat (i.e. kinetic energy) mainly in the "strong" beam. The sweeper effect is thus the result of the vacuum heat sweeping aside the very low intensity beam, with a sharp boundary defined by the balancing of the osmotic momenta coming from the two beams, respectively. Due to the different a-dependencies in Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2), we observe different shifts of the intensity patterns and correspondingly different angles of the above-mentioned boundary.



FIG. 1. *(Left)* Dashed initial distributions for the cases of $a = 10^{-4}$ (blue) and $a = 10^{-8}$ (red), respectively, develop into distributions clearly showing interference phenomena which have been "swept aside" far to the right. This sweeper effect is due to the explicit appearance of the nonlinear structure of the probability density current in these domains for very low values of a. *(Right)* Probability density distributions I emanating from the double slit with transmission factor $a = 10^{-4}$ (top) and $a = 10^{-8}$ (bottom), respectively.

As was already pointed out by Luis and Sanz [3], the resulting trajectories such as those of Fig. 1 can be understood as a nonlinear effect that is not usually considered in standard quantum mechanics, but explainable in the Bohmian picture. There, it is the structure of the velocity field which is genuinely nonlinear and therefore allows for the emergence of the type of trajectory behavior observed. Moreover, in our approach, the emergence of the trajectories of Fig. 1 can be traced back to the non-vanishing of the entangling current $\frac{\hbar}{m}\left(R_1 \nabla R_2 - R_2 \nabla R_1\right)$ [4].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Maurice de Gosson and Jan Walleczek for many enlightening discussions and the Fetzer Franklin Fund for partial support of the current work.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Summhammer, H. Rauch, and D. Tuppinger, "Stochastic and deterministic absorption in neutron-interference experiments," *Phys. Rev. A* **36** (1987) 4447–4455.

[2] G. Grössing, S. Fussy, J. Mesa Pascasio, and H. Schwabl, "Extreme beam attenuation in double-slit experiments: Quantum and subquantum scenarios," *Ann. Phys.* **353** (2015) 271–281, arXiv:1406.1346 [quant-ph].

[3] A. Luis and Á. S. Sanz, "What dynamics can be expected for mixed states in two-slit experiments?," *Ann. Phys.* **357** (2015) 95–107, arXiv:1311.2612 [quant-ph].

[4] G. Grössing, S. Fussy, J. Mesa Pascasio, and H. Schwabl, "The quantum sweeper effect," *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **626** (2015) 012017, arXiv:1502.04034 [quant-ph].